UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

| Gary Dean Dwyer, Sr., |) | C/A No.: 3:11-2008-JFA-JRM |
|--------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| |) | |
| Plaintif | f,) | |
| V. |) | ORDER |
| |) | |
| Judge George M. McFaddin, Jr., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defend | ants. | |
| |) | |

The *pro se* plaintiff, Gary Dean Dwyer, Sr., brings this civil action that appears to arise out of plaintiff's domestic relations case in the South Carolina Family Court before the defendant.

The Magistrate Judge assigned to this action has prepared a Report and Recommendation and opines that this action should be summarily dismissed for lack of diversity jurisdiction. The Report sets forth in detail the relevant facts and standards of law on this matter, and the court incorporates such without a recitation.

The plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report and Recommendation, which was entered on the docket on August 17, 2011. The plaintiff's response consists of a typed document involving an insured depository institution. It has no relation whatsoever to the case at hand. In the absence of specific objections to the Report

¹ The Magistrate Judge's review is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

3:11-cv-02008-JFA Date Filed 10/05/11 Entry Number 12 Page 2 of 2

of the Magistrate Judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the

recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

After carefully reviewing the applicable laws, the record in this case, and the Report and Recommendation, this court finds the Magistrate Judge's recommendation fairly and accurately summarizes the facts and applies the correct principles of law. The Report is incorporated herein by reference.

Accordingly, this action is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

October 5, 2011 Columbia, South Carolina Joseph F. Anderson, Jr. United States District Judge

Joseph F. anderson, g.